

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 19, 1998  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S007210 People, Plaintiff and Respondent

v.

William Michael Dennis, Defendant and Appellant

The judgment is affirmed.

Chin, J.

We Concur:

George, C.J.

Mosk, J.

Kennard, J.

Baxter, J.

Werdegar, J.

Brown, J.

S010808 People, Respondent

v.

Jack Gus Farnam, Appellant

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's brief is extended to and including March 19, 1998.

S016081 People, Respondent

v.

Maureen McDermott, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including April 10, 1998.

S020032 People, Respondent

v.

Raymond Anthony Lewis, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including March 19, 1998.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S021331 People, Respondent

v.

Curtis Lee Ervin, Appellant

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's brief is extended to and including March 25, 1998.

S024349 People, Respondent

v.

Peter Sakarias, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including May 15, 1998.

S024599 People, Respondent

v.

Michael Lamont Jones, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including March 6, 1998.

S062201 John Guz, Appellant

v.

Bechtel National, Inc. et al., Respondents

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's answer brief on the merits is extended to and including March 10, 1998.

S062266 People, Respondent

v.

Larry Salvador Martinez, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including March 19, 1998.

S063051 In re Lance Ian Osband

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's informal

response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including February 27, 1998.

S066735 Cel-Tech Communications, Incorporated, et al., Appellants  
v.

Los Angeles Cellular Telephone Company, Respondent

On application of both parties by stipulation, it is hereby ordered that the time to serve and file each appellants' and respondents' opening briefs on the merits is extended to and including April 10, 1998.

S061765 Robert M. Ridgley et al., Appellants  
v.

Topa Thrift and Loan Association, Appellant

The request of appellants Ridgley, et al. for two attorneys to appear and divide the 30-minute allotted time for oral argument is granted.

S049933 In re **Susan Patricia Kenney** on Discipline

It is ordered that probation previously ordered in S049933 (93-0-17591) be extended for a period of one year. Costs are awarded to the State Bar and shall be added to and become part of the membership fee for the next calendar year. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6140.7.)

S066438 In re **Richard Michael Laden** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Richard Michael Laden** be suspended from the practice of law for six months, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years on condition that he be actually suspended for 30 days and until he makes restitution to June Allen in the amount of \$2,000, plus 10% interest per annum accruing from the effective date of this order and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the Probation Unit, State Bar Office of Trials. If he remains on actual suspension for 90 days or more, he shall comply with the subdivisions (a) and (c) of rule 955, California Rules of Court, within 120 and 130 days, respectively, from the effective date of this this order.\* He is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation, including additional restitution, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed October 24, 1997,

as modified by its order filed November 4, 1997. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S066439 In re **Franklin K. Moore** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Franklin K. Moore** be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation, including 90 days actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed October 8, 1997. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code 6086.10 and those costs are payable in accordance with section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S066466 In re **Lawrence Russell Webb** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Lawrence Russell Webb** be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation, including 90 days actual suspension, recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its opinion filed October 24, 1997, as corrected by its order of November 7, 1997. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform

the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 6080.10 payable in accordance with Bus. & Prof. Code section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997)

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S066515 In re **Howard Leslie Husted** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Howard Leslie Husted** be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation, including restitution, and 90 days actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed October 8, 1997. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S066517 In re **Barry Lynn Greenhalgh** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Barry Lynn Greenhalgh** be suspended from the practice of law for 90 days, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law and Disposition and Order Approving filed October 30, 1997, as modified by its order filed November 20, 1997. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

S066519      In re **Chester Douglas Spicer** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Chester Douglas Spicer** be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years on condition that he be actually suspended for six months and until he makes restitution to L. Laurelle Danton in the amount of \$2,000, plus 10% interest per annum from December 31, 1994, and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the Probation Unit, State Bar Office of Trials. Should the period of actual suspension exceed two years, he shall remain on actual suspension until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. He is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed July 11, 1997. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year or the period of his actual suspension, whichever is greater. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7.

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S067833      In the Matter of the Resignation of **Warren M. Gordon**  
A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Warren M. Gordon** as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against him should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S067834      In the Matter of the Resignation of **Daryl L. Smith**  
A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Daryl L. Smith** as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against him should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S067835      In the Matter of the Resignation of **Michael I. Greer**  
A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Michael I. Greer** as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against him should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S067837      In the Matter of the Resignation of **Jeffrey L. Champlin**  
A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Jeffrey L. Champlin** as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against him should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)